

Curriculum Feedback Analysis & Action Taken Report (Year: 2020-21)


Students Feedback

Feedback on curriculum was collected from students in the following areas:


1. Knowledge and Concept
2. Analytical Abilities and Skill
3. Employability
4. Coverage of course through practical

Analysis of the feedback from students showed that for all the areas selected, majority of the students gave the response -very good to good. 57% of students said syllabus is adequate and 43% challenging.

Students feedback suggestions: No change in the syllabus required.



Sr. No.	Parameters
1	Learning value in terms of knowledge
2	Learning value in terms of concepts
3	Learning value in terms of analytical abilities
4	Learning value in terms of skills
5	Applicability of/ relevance of syllabus to current employment/ industry needs
6	Extent of coverage of course through practical is
7	Rating for the current syllabus




Academic Peer Feedback

Feedback on curriculum was collected from Peer in the following areas:

1. Content Clarity and Quality
2. Relevance to contemporary issues
3. Relevance to skill
4. Practical Relevance

For majority of the parameters selected, peers gave a response of very good.

Peers appreciated the syllabus for both theory and practical parts. There were NO suggestions.



Sr. No.	Parameters
1	How do you rate the Courses in relation to competencies and attributes expected in the Syllabus?
2	Quality of Content of the syllabus
3	Clarity of syllabus
4	Appropriateness to contemporary issues of the course
5	Relevance to the skill
6	Capacity to challenge the diversified abilities and potentials of the learners
7	Social and practical relevance
8	Extent of coverage of syllabus through practical component
9	Your opinion about the overall syllabus


Prof. (Dr.) Jessy Pius
Head, Department of Botany