

## Curriculum Feedback Analysis & Action Taken Report (Year: 2022-23)


### Students Feedback

Feedback on curriculum was collected from students in the following areas:

1. Knowledge and Concept
2. Analytical Abilities and Skill
3. Employability
4. Coverage of course through practical

When analysed the feedback 54% of students said syllabus is adequate and 46% said its challenging. For all the 6 parameters 50% students rated the curriculum very good and 50% good.

**Students feedback suggestions:** Overall the syllabus was great. Skill-based hands-on practicals can be included. Topics emphasizing on sustainability and climate change can be added to the current syllabus.



Sr. No.	Parameters
1	Learning value in terms of knowledge
2	Learning value in terms of concepts
3	Learning value in terms of analytical abilities
4	Learning value in terms of skills
5	Applicability of/ relevance of syllabus to current employment/ industry needs
6	Extent of coverage of course through practical is
7	Rating for the current syllabus



## Alumni Feedback


Feedback on curriculum was collected from Alumni in the following areas:

1. Knowledge and Concept
2. Analytical Abilities and Skill
3. Employability
4. Coverage of course through practical


When analysed, 83% alumni said the curriculum is adequate and 17% said its challenging.

Most Alumni have given very good or good ratings for the syllabus in terms of industrial applicability, analytical abilities, and learning values. Most of the alumni rated the syllabus as 'good' in terms of applicability or relevance of the syllabus and its practical approach.

**Alumni suggestions:** More hands on experience pertaining to analytical instruments probably through projects. Concept applicability in pure Sciences. Inter disciplinary topics especially of commerce and economics for science students



Sr. No.	Parameters
1	Learning value in terms of knowledge
2	Learning value in terms of concepts
3	Learning value in terms of analytical abilities
4	Applicability of/ relevance of syllabus to current employment/ industry needs
5	Extent of coverage of course through practical is
6	Rating for the current syllabus



## Academic Peer Feedback


Feedback on curriculum was collected from Peer in the following areas:

1. Content Clarity and Quality
2. Relevance to contemporary issues
3. Relevance to skill
4. Practical Relevance

Peers appreciated the syllabus for both theory and practical parts.

When analysed, most of the peers gave very good rating for 7 parameters and good for the rest.

There were NO suggestions.



Sr. No.	Parameters
1	How do you rate the Courses in relation to competencies and attributes expected in the Syllabus?
2	Quality of Content of the syllabus
3	Clarity of syllabus
4	Appropriateness to contemporary issues of the course
5	Relevance to the skill
6	Capacity to challenge the diversified abilities and potentials of the learners
7	Social and practical relevance
8	Extent of coverage of syllabus through practical component
9	Your opinion about the overall syllabus

  
Prof. (Dr) Jessy Pius  
Head, Department of Botany